Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com
Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Shakti Bhushan

S/o Sh. Kewal Krishan,

Street Bhani Sahib, Ward No. 8,

Dhanaula, Distt. Barnala -148105 (Punjab)



....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer, O/o The Motor Vehicle Registration Department, O/o Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority, O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Sector 17, Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1299 of 2019

Present: Sh. Shakti Bhushan, the appellant in person.

Sh. Rohit Sood, Junior Assistant, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

The RTI request is dated 08.11.2018. First appeal is dated 07.01.2019. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 03.04.2019.

On the last date of hearing, held on 21.10.2020, Sh. Rohit Sood, Junior Assistant, stated that remaining information, after collecting the same from the concerned branch i. e. Technical Branch, would be given to the appellant and sought an adjournment in this case. Last opportunity was given to the concerned respondent PIO to supply the certified copies of the remaining information (not of PAN Card, copies of Aadhar Card etc., which carry personal details) to the appellant with a copy of the same to the Commission.

Sh. Rohit Sood, Junior Assistant, appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing.

The appellant, Sh. Shakti Bhushan, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that he has received the required information in the instant appeal-case and the case be closed.

Since the information stands supplied and the appellant has also acknowledged that he has received the required information, hence, the show-cause issued to the concerned respondent PIO vide orders dated 22.07.2019, is <u>dropped</u> and the case is announced as <u>disposed</u> of and closed.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date: 18th January, 2021 State Information Commissioner
Punjab



Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com
Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Ms. Inderjit Kaur W/o Sh. Gurmej Singh, Village - Jahidpur,

P. O. – Jaito Sarja, Tehsil – Batala, Distt. – Gurdaspur - 143505 (Punjab)

....Appellant

i) Public Information Officer,O/o The Tehsidar,Batala, Distt. – Gurdaspur (Punjab)

ii) Public Information Officer O/o The Deputy Commissioner, Gurdaspur (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority, O/o The Deputy Commissioner, Gurdaspur (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 326 of 2020

Present: None on behalf of the appellant.

None on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

V/s

The RTI request is dated 30.10.2019. First appeal is dated 02.12.2019. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 15.01.2020.

On the last date of hearing, held on 21.10.2020, Sh. Mohinder Partap, Sadar Kanungo office of Deputy Commissioner, Gurdaspur, stated that the requisite information was supplied to the appellant through registered post. The appellant was not present on that day to clear the fact whether she has received the information or not. The respondent PIO was directed to send a copy of the information (which was given to the appellant) with a copy of the postal/acknowledgment receipt to the Commission. An opportunity was also given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to her, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned.

Neither the appellant, Ms. Inderjit Kaur nor the respondent is present in today's hearing.

The respondent PIO already through a reply vide letter no. 36 dated 24.08.2020 signed by Public Information Officer-cum-Tehsildar, Batala, which was received in the Commission vide Diary No. 10904 dated 01.09.2020, had intimated the Commission that the requisite information with a copy of "Kursinama" was already supplied to the appellant through registered post. It, alongwith annexure, is already placed in the case-file.

Since complete information has been supplied by the respondent PIO to the appellant and the appellant has also not approached the Commission with any contrary claim. It is assumed that the appellant is satisfied with the information supplied to her by the respondent.

In view of all the above, the case is announced as <u>disposed of and closed</u>. Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 18th January, 2021 State Information Commissioner Punjab

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com
Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Joginder Singh S/o Sh. Dayal Singh, H. No. B-IV/922, Old Radha Swami street, Barnala - 148101(Punjab)



....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer O/o The Managing Director, Pb. State Warehousing Corporation, Sector 17, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority, O/o The Managing Director, Pb. State Warehousing Corporation, Sector 17, Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 700 of 2020

Present: None on behalf of the appellant.

Ms. Jagdev Kaur, Senior Assistant, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

The RTI request is dated 25.11.2019. First appeal is dated 11.01.2020. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 11.02.2020.

On the last date of hearing, held on 21.10.2020, Ms. Taranjit Bajwa, Warehouse Manager-cum-PIO, stated that the requisite information was supplied to the appellant through registered post. The appellant was not present on that day to clear the fact whether he has received the information or not. The respondent PIO was directed to send a copy of the information (which was given to the appellant) with a copy of the postal/acknowledgment receipt to the Commission. An opportunity was also given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned.

The appellant, Sh. Joginder Singh is not present in today's hearing.

Ms. Jagdev Kaur, Senior Assistant, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that the requisite information has been supplied to the appellant.

The respondent PIO already through a reply vide letter no. 1783 dated 19.11.2020 signed by Public Information Officer office of Pb. State Warehousing Corporation, Chandigarh, which was received in the Commission vide Diary No. 16643 dated 19.11.2020, had intimated the Commission that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter no. 1653 dated 19.10.2020 through Speed-post . It, alongwith annexure, is taken on record.

Since complete information has been supplied by the respondent PIO to the appellant and the appellant has also not approached the Commission with any contrary claim. It is assumed that the appellant is satisfied with the information supplied to him by the respondent.

In view of all the above, the case is announced as <u>disposed of and closed</u>. Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date: 18th January, 2021 State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com
Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab



S/o Sh. Surjit Singh,

House No. 157, Street No. 8-A,

Manjit Nagar, Bhadson Road, Patiala(Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer, O/o The Managing Director, Pepsu Road Transport Corporation (P.R.T.C.), Nabha Road, Patiala (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority, O/o The Managing Director, Pepsu Road Transport Corporation (P.R.T.C.), Nabha Road, Patiala (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2611 of 2019

Present: None on behalf of the appellant.

Sh. Amanveer Singh Tiwana, PIO-cum-Traffic Manager, in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

The RTI request is dated 10.04.2019. First appeal is dated 27.05.2019. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 18.07.2019.

On the last date of hearing, held on 21.10.2020, Sh. Amanveer Singh Tiwana, PIO-cum-Traffic Manager, who appeared with Sh. Narender Kumar, Sr. Assistant-cum-APIO, stated that in compliance to the order dated 17.09.2020, a point-wise reply dated 07.10.2020 in an affidavit was sent to the Commission vide letter dated 09.10.2020 and also sent the same to the appellant vide letter no. 3828 dated 09.10.2020 through registered post. It, alongwith copy of an affidavit dated 07.10.2020 is placed in the case-file. The appellant expressed his dissatisfaction over the reply sent by the respondent. The respondent PIO concerned was directed to facilitate the appellant to inspect the relevant official record of Head Office and also of various Depots on 19.11.2020 at 11:00 A. M. to identify the information. The appellant was also advised to advised to visit the office of the respondent PIO to inspect the relevant official record on 19.11.2020 at 11:00 A. M to identify the information. The respondent-PIO concerned was directed to supply the required information, which would be identified by the appellant after inspection to him. An opportunity was also given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned.

The appellant, Sh. Jaspal Singh is not present in today's hearing. He had also not visited the office of the respondent to inspect the relevant official record on 19.11.2020 as directed vide orders dated 21.10.2020. He has sent a letter through an e-mail dated 18.01.2021, intimating that due to health reasons he could not comply the orders dated 21.10.2020 passed by the Commission. It is taken on record.

Contd...2/-

Sh. Amanveer Singh Tiwana, PIO-cum-Traffic Manager, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that the appellant did not visit the office on 19.11.2020 to inspect the relevant official record to identify the required information despite the fact that the concerned officials of various depots were available on the fixed date. He also intimates the Commission that the concerned officials of various depots were also available on the earlier fixed dates i. e. 14.07.2020, 21.07.2020, 28.07.2020 and 04.08.2020) as directed vide orders dated 07.07.2020 but the appellant had not visited the office to inspect the official record to identify the information. He further intimates the Commission that a reply (in both the cases i. e. A.C. 2611 of 2019 and A. C. 2612 of 2019) has also been sent to the Commission vide letter no. 5886 dated 16.12.2020, which has been received in the Commission vide Diary No. 19027 dated 21.12.2020. It is taken on record.

After examining the documents placed on record, it emerges that several opportunities were given to the appellant in the instant appeal-case to inspect the official record to identify the information so that the same could be provided to him. Moreover, in the earlier hearing of this case, held on 21.10.2020, directions were given to inspect the relevant official record on 19.11.2020 to the appellant, clearly mentioning that if the appellant would not visit the office of the respondent PIO on the fixed date i. e. 19.11.2020 to inspect the relevant official record, the case would be decided on merits.

Accordingly, the case is announced as <u>disposed of and closed</u> for non-pursuance.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date: 18th January, 2021 (Sanjiv Garg)

State Information Commissioner

Puniab

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com
Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Jaspal Singh

S/o Sh. Surjit Singh,

House No. 157, Street No. 8-A,

Manjit Nagar, Bhadson Road, Patiala(Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer, O/o The Managing Director, Pepsu Road Transport Corporation (P.R.T.C.), Nabha Road, Patiala (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority, O/o The Managing Director, Pepsu Road Transport Corporation (P.R.T.C.), Nabha Road, Patiala (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2612 of 2019

Present: None on behalf of the appellant.

Sh. Amanveer Singh Tiwana, PIO-cum-Traffic Manager, in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

The RTI request is dated 02.04.2019. First appeal is dated 27.05.2019. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 18.07.2019.

On the last date of hearing, held on 21.10.2020, Sh. Amanveer Singh Tiwana, PIO-cum-Traffic Manager, who appeared with Sh. Narender Kumar, Sr. Assistant-cum-APIO, stated that in compliance to the order dated 17.09.2020, a point-wise reply dated 07.10.2020 in an affidavit was sent to the Commission vide letter dated 09.10.2020 and also sent the same to the appellant vide letter no. 3828 dated 09.10.2020 through registered post. It, alongwith copy of an affidavit dated 07.10.2020 is placed in the case-file. The appellant expressed his dissatisfaction over the reply sent by the respondent. The respondent PIO concerned was directed to facilitate the appellant to inspect the relevant official record of Head Office and also of various Depots on 24.11.2020 at 11:00 A. M. to identify the information. The appellant is also advised to advised to visit the office of the respondent PIO to inspect the relevant official record on 24.11.2020 at 11:00 A. M to identify the information. The respondent-PIO concerned was directed to supply the required information, which would be identified by the appellant after inspection to him. An opportunity was also given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned.

The appellant, Sh. Jaspal Singh is not present in today's hearing. He had also not visited the office of the respondent to inspect the relevant official record on 24.11.2020 as directed vide orders dated 21.10.2020. He has sent a letter through an e-mail dated 18.01.2021, intimating that due to health reasons he could not comply the orders dated 21.10.2020 passed by the Commission. It is taken on record.

Sh. Amanveer Singh Tiwana, PIO-cum-Traffic Manager, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that the appellant did not visit the office on 24.11.2020 to inspect the relevant official record to identify the required information despite the fact that the concerned officials of various depots were available on the fixed date. He also intimates the Commission that the concerned officials of various depots were also available on the earlier fixed dates i. e. 14.07.2020, 21.07.2020, 28.07.2020 and 04.08.2020) as directed vide orders dated 07.07.2020 but the appellant had not visited the office to inspect the official record to identify the information. He further intimates the Commission that a common reply (in both the cases i. e. A.C. 2611 of 2019 and A. C. 2612 of 2019) has also been sent to the Commission vide letter no. 5886 dated 16.12.2020, which has been received in the Commission vide Diary No. 19027 dated 21.12.2020. A copy of the same is taken on record.

After examining the documents placed on record, it emerges that several opportunities were given to the appellant in the instant appeal-case to inspect the official record to identify the information so that the same could be provided to him. Moreover, in the earlier hearing of this case, held on 21.10.2020, directions were given to inspect the relevant official record on 24.11.2020 to the appellant, clearly mentioning that if the appellant would not visit the office of the respondent PIO on the fixed date i. e. 24.11.2020 to inspect the relevant official record, the case would be decided on merits.

Accordingly, the case is announced as <u>disposed of and closed</u> for non-pursuance. Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 18th January, 2021

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Ms. Shobha Rani Sharma C/o Dr. Bhishamber Dass, Mohalla Sekhan. Sujanpur, Ward No. 08,

Teh. & Distt. - Pathankot(Punjab)

....Complainant

V/s

Public Information Officer, O/o The Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Sujanpur, Teh. & Distt. - Pathankot (Punjab)

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 89 of 2020

Present: None on behalf of the complainant.

Sh. Vijay Sagar Mehta, Executive Officer-cum-PIO, in person.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

The RTI request is dated 16.10.2019. The complaint has been received in the Commission on 20.01.2020.

On the last date of hearing, held on 26.11.2020, Sh. Suman Kumar, Superintendent, stated that the information, demanded by the complainant through RTI request is in nature of 'question', hence, could not be supplied to him. The complainant was absent on that day and she was advised to visit the office of the respondent PIO on 05.01.2021 at 11:00 A. M. to inspect the relevant official record to identify the required information so that the same could be supplied.

The complainant, Ms. Shobha Rani Sharma through a letter dated 01.01.2021, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail, has intimated the Commission that she is suffering from disk problem and she has authorized her husband Sh. Rama Kant Sharma to represent the instant complaint case. It is taken on record.

Sh. Vijay Sagar Mehta, Executive Officer-cum-PIO, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that the complainant did not visit the office on 05.01.2021 to inspect the relevant official record to identify the required information.

Neither Sh. Rama Kant Sharma (authorized representative of the appellant) has visited the office of the respondent to inspect the relevant official record nor he is present in today's hearing, held through Webex Software.

As in the earlier hearing of this case, held on 26.11.2020, directions were given to inspect the relevant official record on 05.01.2021 to the complainant, clearly mentioning that if the complainant would not avail the opportunity to inspect the official record, the case would be decided on merits.

> Accordingly, the case is announced as disposed of and closed for non-pursuance. Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date: 18th January, 2021

(Sanjiv Garg) **State Information Commissioner Punjab**

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com
Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Ms. Shobha Rani Sharma C/o Dr. Bhishamber Dass, Mohalla Sekhan, Sujanpur, Ward No. 08, Teh. & Distt. - Pathankot(Punjab)

a Diotti - i attiatinosti attigato)

....Complainant

V/s

Public Information Officer, O/o The Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Sujanpur, Teh. & Distt. - Pathankot (Punjab)

...Respondents

Complaint Case No. 88 of 2020

Present: None on behalf of the complainant.

Sh. Vijay Sagar Mehta, Executive Officer-cum-PIO, in person.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

The RTI request is dated 16.10.2019. The complaint has been received in the

Commission on 20.01.2020.

On the last date of hearing, held on 26.11.2020, Sh. Suman Kumar, Superintendent, stated that the information, demanded by the appellant through RTI request is in nature of 'question', hence, could not be supplied to him. The complainant was absent on that day and she was advised to visit the office of the respondent PIO on 05.01.2021 at 11:00 A. M. to inspect the relevant official record to identify the required information so that the same could be supplied.

The complainant, Ms. Shobha Rani Sharma through a letter dated 01.01.2021, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail, has intimated the Commission that she is suffering from disk problem and she has authorized her husband Sh. Rama Kant Sharma to represent the instant complaint case. It is taken on record.

Sh. Vijay Sagar Mehta, Executive Officer-cum-PIO, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that the complainant did not visit the office on 05.01.2021 to inspect the relevant official record to identify the required information.

Neither Sh. Rama Kant Sharma (authorized representative of the appellant) has visited the office of the respondent to inspect the relevant official record nor he is present in today's hearing, held through Webex Software.

As in the earlier hearing of this case, held on 26.11.2020, directions were given to inspect the relevant official record on 05.01.2021 to the complainant, clearly mentioning that if the complainant would not avail the opportunity to inspect the official record, the case would be decided on merits.

Accordingly, the case is announced as <u>disposed of and</u> closed for non-pursuance. Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date: 18th January, 2021

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com
Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Gurbax Singh, Guru Nanak Nagar,

Village Bholapur, P. O. Ramgarh, Chd. Road, Ludhiana(Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer, O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Pb., Sector 17, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority, O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Pb., Sector 17, Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 380 of 2020

Present: None on behalf of the appellant.

Sh. Gurpal Singh, APIO, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

The RTI request is dated 01.10.2019. First appeal is dated 05.11.2019. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 20.01.2020.

On the last date of hearing, held on 26.11.2020, Sh. Piara Singh, Assistant Transport Officer-cum-PIO, through a telephonic message conveyed that due to technical fault, he could not able to connect to attend the proceedings of the hearing. The respondent PIO through a reply vide letter no. 23635 dated 15.10.2020 had intimated the Commission that the required information was supplied to the appellant. The appellant was absent on that day. An opportunity was given to both the parties (appellant and the respondent PIO) to represent the case in person or through their representative, on the fixed date of i. e. today itself.

The appellant, Sh. Gurbax Singh, is not present in today's hearing.

Sh. Gurpal Singh, APIO, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter no. 34220 dated 03.12.2019 through registered post

The respondent PIO already through a reply vide letter no. 23635 dated 15.10.2020 signed by Public Information Officer office of State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh, which was received in the Commission vide Diary No. 14211 dated 19.10.2020, had intimated the Commission that the requisite information was supplied to the appellant vide letter no. 34220 dated 03.12.2019 through registered post. It, alongwith annexure, is placed in the case-file.

Since complete information has been supplied by the respondent PIO to the appellant and the appellant has also not approached the Commission with any contrary claim. It is assumed that the appellant is satisfied with the information supplied to him by the respondent.

In view of all the above, the case is announced as $\underline{\text{disposed of and closed.}}$

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date: 18th January, 2021

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com
Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab



94, City Homes Colony, Near Spring Dale School, Khanna - 141401(Punjab)



.... Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o The Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Khanna (Punjab)

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 21 of 2020

V/s

Present: None on behalf of the complainant.

Sh. Charanjit Singh, Executive Officer, in person.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

The RTI request is dated 09.10.2019. The complaint has been received in the

Commission on 27.12.2019.

Date: 18th January, 2021

On the last date of hearing, held on 26.11.2020, Sh. Raghubir Singh, Sanitary Inspector, stated that the requisite information was sent to the complainant vide letter no. 1124 dated 25.11.2020 with a copy to the Commission. The complainant stated that information at point no. 02, 03 and 04 is still pending. Sh. Raghubir Singh, Sanitary Inspector, sought an adjournment in this case so that remaining information, if any, could be supplied to the complainant as per queries raised by the complainant through RTI request. An opportunity was given to the complainant to confirm whether he has received the remaining information, or not.

The complainant, Sh. P. D. Bansal, is not present in today's hearing.

Sh. Charanjit Singh, Executive Officer, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the complainant vide letter no. 1124 dated 25.11.2020.

He also intimates the Commission that a reply has also been sent to the Commission vide letter no. 394 dated 25.11.2020, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail, appended to which is a reply vide letter no. 1124 dated 25.11.2020, showing that the requisite information has already been supplied to the complainant. On that reply, the complainant has put his signatures on 25.11.2020 as an acknowledgement of having received the requisite information. It, alongwith annexure, is taken on record.

Since the information stands supplied and the complainant has also acknowledged that he has received the required information, hence, the case is announced as <u>disposed of and closed</u>.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

(Sanjiv Garg)

State Information Commissioner Punjab

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com
Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab



94, City Homes Colony, Near Spring Dale School, Khanna - 141401(Punjab)

.... Complainant

V/s

Public Information Officer, O/o The Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Khanna (Punjab)

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 19 of 2020

Present: None on behalf of the complainant.

Sh. Charanjit Singh, Executive Officer, in person.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

The RTI request is dated 10.09.2019. The complaint has been received in the Commission on 27.12.2019.

On the last date of hearing, held on 26.11.2020, Sh. Raghubir Singh, Sanitary Inspector and Sh. Rajesh Rehmi, Junior Engineer, stated that the requisite information (of thirty six pages), was sent to the complainant vide letter dated 23.11.2020 with a copy to the Commission. The complainant stated that he had not received the above-mentioned information. The representatives of the respondent PIO stated that as the information was sent to the complainant vide letter dated 23.11.2020, which would be delivered by the Postal Authorities to the complainant within coming two or three days from that day. An opportunity was also given to the respondent PIO to send a copy of the supplied information (which was sent to the complainant) to the Commission. An opportunity was also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information, to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned.

The complainant, Sh. P. D. Bansal, is not present in today's hearing.

Sh. Charanjit Singh, Executive Officer, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the complainant vide letter no. 2249-50 dated 23.11.2020 through registered post.

He also intimates the Commission that a reply has also been sent to the Commission vide letter no. 2250 dated 23.11.2020, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail, showing that the requisite information has already been supplied to the complainant. It, alongwith a copy of postal receipt, is taken on record.

Since complete information has been supplied by the respondent PIO to the complainant and the complainant has also not approached the Commission with any contrary claim. It is assumed that the complainant is satisfied with the information supplied to him.

In view of all the above, the case is announced as <u>disposed of and closed</u>. Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date: 18th January, 2021 State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Ms. Archana Sudha D/o Sh. Kashmir Singh, C/o Ms. Alka Chatrath, # 2055, Sector 15 - C, Chandigarh(Punjab)

....Appellant

i) **Public Information Officer,** O/o The Director, Centre for Development of Advanced Computing (C-DAC), A - 34, Industrial Area, Sector 72 (Phase-6), S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

ii) **Public Information Officer**, O/o The Director, Public Instructions (Sec.) Pb.,

P.S.E.B. Complex, Sector 68, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority, O/o The Director General, School Education, Pb., P.S.E.B. Complex, Sector 68, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 375 of 2020

V/s

Present: None on behalf of the appellant in person.

Ms. Dimpy Dhir, Assistant Director O/o D. P. I.(Sec.), on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

The RTI request is dated 21.06.2019. First appeal is dated 13.09.2019. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 24.01.2020.

On the last date of hearing, held on 07.12.2020, the respondent PIO through a reply vide letter no. 1519 dated 03.06.2020 signed by PIO, C-DAC, Mohali, had intimated the Commission that information available with office of C-DAC, was provided to the appellant vide letter no. 77 dated 09.08.2019 and information regarding scrutiny of documents, selection, rejection and issue of appointment letters was done by department of D. P. I.(Sec.) (appointment authority) and the data/information for the said period was handed over to office of D. P. I.(Sec.), S. A. S. Nagar. As the information demanded by the appellant through RTI request, relates with office of D.P.I.(Sec.), Punjab, S. A. S. Nagar, hence, Public Information Officer office of D. P. I.(Sec.), Punjab, S. A. S. Nagar, was impleaded as necessary party in this case. A copy of the order was sent to him alongwith RTI request with the directions to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant as per queries raised by him through RTI request with a copy to the Commission. He was also directed to represent this case in person or through his representative on the fixed date of hearing to be held through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

Contd...2/-

The appellant, Ms. Archana Sudha, is not present in today's hearing.

Ms. Dimpy Dhir, Assistant Director of office of D. P. I.(Sec.), Punjab, Chandigarh, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant, Ms. Archana Sudha in another similar case i. e. A. C. No. 374 of 2020, which was filed by the appellant and disposed of by Hon'ble State Information Commissioner, Sh. Pawan Kumar Singla vide orders dated 22.12.2020.

After going through the RTI request placed in the case-file and the RTI request filed in A. C. No. 374 of 2020, it is found that contents of the RTI request filed in A. C. No. 374 of 2020 and the present RTI application, are the same.

Accordingly, the present case is announced as <u>disposed of and closed</u>. Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date: 18th January, 2021

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab